

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,828	10/12/2000	James Paschal McCloskey	1 McCloskey MSX 302RI 9910	
7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER		
Kolisch Hartwell Dickinson McCormack & Heuser			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C	
520 S W Yamhill Street Suite 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Portland OR 97204			3653	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/687,828	MCCLOSKEY, JAMES	MCCLOSKEY, JAMES PASCHAL		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Joseph C Rodriguez	3653			

	Joseph C Rodriguez	3653	!
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 26 May 2005. A brief is date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replantation.	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
AMENDMENTS	to the second of		
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	□ will not be entered, or b) □ will will not be entered, or b) □ will will will be will be will be entered.	II be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	or the states of the diames after t	may to bolow or allao	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13.   Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s). <u>4/25/05</u> (8 <u>sh</u>	sts)



## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendments necissitate more than nominal consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: Here, it is noted that the protests were not considered as only a third party, and not an Applicant, may file a protest. See MPEP 1901. That is, the remarks accompanying the prior art documents that accompanied Applicant's submission of the protest documents were not considered as no valid protest was received. The prior art documents were considered to the extent possible. In numerous instances, however, the relevant conveyor features were not discernible from the darkened photocopies. Further, as indicated on the attached PTO Form-1449, some of the non-patent literature documents were not in conformance as they lacked proper citation and thus were not considered.

DONALD Y WALS!!
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600